```
1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                      EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
                      - - - - X
       UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        21-CR-00265(PKC)
 3
 4
                                        United States Courthouse
             -against-
                                        Brooklyn, New York
5
6
                                        March 29, 2022
                                        10:00 a.m.
7
       KUANG ZEBIN,
8
               Defendant.
9
            TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR CURCIO HEARING
10
                  BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA K. CHEN
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
                         APPEARANCES:
13
    For the Government:
                              BREON PEACE. ESQ.
                              Acting United States Attorney
14
                              Eastern District of New York
                              271 Cadman Plaza East
15
                              Brooklyn, New York 11201
16
                              BY:
                                   CRAIG HEEREN, ESQ.
17
                                   ELLEN SISE, ESQ.
                                   Assistant United States Attorneys
18
    For the Defendant:
                              DEMIDCHIK LAW FIRM
19
                              150 S. Wacker-Suite 2400
                              Chicago, IL 60558
20
                              BY:
                                   MICHAEL WALSH, ESQ.
21
                              DENISE PARISI, RPR, CRR
    Court Reporter:
22
                              225 Cadman Plaza East
                              Brooklyn, New York 11201
                              Telephone: (718) 613-2605
23
                              E-mail: DeniseParisi72@gmail.com
24
    Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography. Transcript
25
    produced by Computer-aided Transcription.
```

2 1 (In open court.) 2 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Criminal cause for Curcio 3 hearing and plea hearing, docket 21-CR-265, United States 4 versus Kuang Zebin. 5 Will the parties please state their appearances for the record starting with the Government. 6 7 MR. HEEREN: Good morning, Your Honor. Craig Heeren on behalf of the United States. With 8 9 me is my colleague, Ellen Sise. I think I'm pretty loud, but 10 if you can't hear me, let me know. No, you're plenty loud. Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Good morning to both of you, and apologies to 12 13 Ms. Sise on the last-minute fire drill on getting the elements 14 I'm sorry. I can imagine you sprinting across the street moments before, having done that myself many times, so 15 16 thank you for that. 17 MR. WALSH: Yes, good morning, Your Honor. 18 Michael Walsh. I'm the attorney for Mr. Kuang, who 19 is seated to my left. 20 THE COURT: Good morning to both of you. 21 And then we'll have our interpreter be sworn in. 22 (Interpreter sworn.) 23 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. 24 Please state and spell your name and indicate the 25 language you are interpreting.

3 1 THE INTERPRETER: Tuo, T-U-O, last name Huang, 2 H-U-A-N-G, Mandarin Chinese. Good morning to you, Mr. Huang. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 Or is it Huang? 5 THE INTERPRETER: Huang, either way. Thank you, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. 8 Have a seat. Everyone can remain seated throughout 9 the proceeding. Just make sure you use the microphone so that 10 we can hear you through the masks and the Plexi. 11 So we're here today for a *Curcio* hearing because, as 12 set forth in the Government's March 14, 2022, letter, there 13 are a couple of conflicts with respect to Mr. Walsh's 14 representation of Mr. Zebin -- I'm sorry, is the last name 15 Kuang, or is the last name --16 THE DEFENDANT: Kuang. 17 THE COURT: I'm so sorry. Mr. Kuang. I should know 18 that. 19 And I want to advise Mr. Kuang fully -- although I 20 understand he's already been advised, to some extent, by the 21 Government -- about these conflicts and to see if he wants to 22 waive them, because I do find that they're waivable. 23 Did you want to speak, Mr. Walsh, to your client 24 further. 25 Judge, I'm just getting a -- his MR. WALSH:

4 1 medication. He has some anxiety issues. We actually -- on 2 the last proffer, he got very faint and he's seen a doctor 3 since then and he has some medication that he's just giving to 4 me in case the same thing happens. 5 THE COURT: All right. Do you need water? Do you want some water? 6 MR. WALSH: 7 THE COURT: Oh, look at that. Okay, terrific. You 8 can leave that out on the table --9 MR. WALSH: Okay, I will just leave it right here. 10 THE COURT: -- Mr. Kuang, in case you need the Just take it if you feel like you're getting 11 12 anxious or faint, all right? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. THE COURT: Now, Mr. Kuang, I need to have you pull 14 the microphone towards you. Actually, no I have got that 15 16 backwards. I guess I should have it pulled towards the interpreter because I'm assuming that Mr. Kuang is going to 17 18 have to speak through the interpreter. 19 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, may I instruct 20 Mr. Kuang to press the button? 21 THE COURT: Absolutely. That way you can 22 communicate with the interpreter when you need to make a 23 statement. 24 Do you understand that? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Kuang, I am advised that your current attorney, Mr. Walsh, is affiliated with the -- and I'm going to spell it for the court reporter -- D-E-M-I-D-C-H-I-K, Demidchik; is that right? -- Demidchik Law Firm. I'm also advised that the Demidchik firm has previously represented your co-defendant, Zhu Yong, whom the Government has identified as one of your co-conspirators.

Do you understand me so far?

THE DEFENDANT: Understood, yeah.

THE COURT: Now, your attorney, as a member of the Demidchik firm, may have certain ethical obligations to your co-defendant Zhu Yong. For example, it might be a conflict of interest for a member of the Demidchik firm to investigate leads, introduce evidence, or make arguments on your behalf that might tend to incriminate, or cast suspicion on the firm's prior client Zhu Yong.

Again, I want to confirm since you're being advised through an interpreter that you understand me so far.

THE DEFENDANT: Understood.

THE COURT: Okay. If at any point -- and I'm going to direct this to the interpreter -- I'm going too fast, just raise your hand and I will slow down, okay?

THE INTERPRETER: Thank you so much.

THE COURT: I know the simultaneous translation is very challenging.

Now, your attorney, or members of his firm -Demidchik -- also may have privileged information obtained in
the course of Demidchik's representation of this prior client,
Zhu young that could assist in your defense. However, the
ethical duties of your attorney, Mr. Walsh, and the members of
his firm may preclude or prevent them from disclosing or using
that information in your case.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Understood.

THE COURT: Okay. It is also possible that another attorney could take a certain position with respect to your involvement or noninvolvement in the crime charged against you or your relationship with your co-conspirators, whereas your attorney may be ethically barred from pursuing such a defense strategy due to contrary information that he or other members of his firm may have obtained from other clients. In other words, Mr. Kuang, if you got a brand new attorney who wasn't associated with the Demidchik firm, that attorney could take whatever position you and he or she felt was in your best interest without any restriction because of information learned about one of your co-defendants through a prior relationship.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Understood.

THE COURT: There may be other issues in addition to

the ones I've just described that can arise in which your attorney's ability to do certain things might be affected by the fact that his firm has represented other clients in matters related to this case, and no one can foresee every possible conflict of interest.

Do you have any questions about what I've just explained to you, Mr. Kuang?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Can you explain to me in your own words what you understand this potential conflict of interest to be, or what the potential conflict of interest might be?

Pause for a minute so he can translate.

THE INTERPRETER: Sorry.

THE DEFENDANT: Directly in this case, there could be another person, so he could hire another attorney from this law firm, so probably there could be conflict of interest rising that may restrict his abilities doing strategies in court.

THE COURT: Did you want to say anything further?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't know how to describe, but I know what it is.

THE COURT: The conflict in this case is a little more concrete because Mr. Walsh's firm, the Demidchik firm, has actually represented your co-defendant, Zhu Yong. So because of that relationship, one or more lawyers in the

9 THE COURT: In other words, you need to affirm for 1 2 me that you are going to give me truthful answers. Do you understand that? 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. THE COURT: So rise and raise your right hand. 5 (Defendant sworn.) 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. THE COURT: Have a seat, Mr. Kuang. 8 9 You should understand that now that you're under 10 oath, if you give any answer that's false -- and by that I 11 mean knowing that the answer is false or incorrect -- your 12 answers could be used against you in a separate prosecution 13 for making a false statement or for perjury. 14 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I do. 15 THE COURT: The bottom line is whatever you say on 16 the record should be the truth. 17 18 Do you understand that? 19 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 20 THE COURT: So let's go back to where we left off. 21 Do you understand the potential conflict with 22 respect to Mr. Walsh's representation of you because of his 23 firm's prior representation of Zhu Yong? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And is it your understanding 25

notwithstanding that conflict to have Mr. Walsh represent you?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't mind.

THE COURT: Okay. It's not a question of if you don't mind. I need to make sure that you affirmatively want Mr. Walsh to represent you still, even though there may be some limits on how he represents you because of the firm's representation of co-defendant in the past.

THE DEFENDANT: I do. I affirm.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's turn now to the other conflict.

I've been advised that your attorney or his law firm were paid by a third party to represent you in this matter. Because your attorneys are being paid by a third party, they -- meaning the attorneys -- may be influenced by this third party in connection with their representation of you. In other words, the attorneys may be influenced to advise you to do things that are in the third party's best interest, but not in your best interest. For example, if the third party is involved in the alleged crime, the third party may have a vested interest in having your lawyers advise you to accept sole responsibility. In other words, those third parties could tell your lawyers that you should accept sole responsibility for the unlawful scheme that the third party may have been involved in, or the third party may tell your lawyers to advise you to minimize the third party's

11 involvement in the crime. 1 2 Do you understand? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Your lawyers may also have an interest in advising you to not seek to cooperate with the Government 5 even if that might be in your interest. In other words, your 6 7 lawyers may advise you not to cooperate because your 8 cooperation might directly, or indirectly, implicate the third 9 party who is paying your legal fees. 10 Do you understand? THE DEFENDANT: 11 I do. 12 THE COURT: There may be other issues in addition to 13 the ones that I've just described that can arise in which your attorney's ability to do certain things might be affected by 14 the fact that he is being paid by a third party. Again, no 15 one can foresee every conflict of interest that might arise 16 out of the fact that your legal fees are being paid by a third 17 18 party. 19 Do you understand what I've explained so far? THE DEFENDANT: 20 Yes. 21 THE COURT: Do you have any questions at all about the potential conflict that might arise of having a third 22 23 party pay for your legal fees? 24 THE DEFENDANT: No. 25 THE COURT: Any questions?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm all clear.

THE COURT: Can you tell me in your own words what you understand the potential conflicts to be because of a third party paying your legal fees?

MR. WALSH: One sentence at a time. It's easier for the interpreter.

THE INTERPRETER: Thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: So my legal fees being paid to the third party, and then if he or she is involved in this crime, he or she could get some help from my attorney. But my understanding is he or she could teach me not to cooperate with the Government while I can cooperate with the Government. And some other facts that could arise, but I don't know how to describe them, but previously I had some understanding from the prosecutors before --

THE COURT: I want to clarify something you just said, and I don't know if it's because it got lost in translation, but at the very beginning you said your legal fees are being paid to the third party.

THE DEFENDANT: Instead of paid to the third party, didn't you mention if the third party --

THE COURT: Mr. Interpreter, sorry, you have to use the mic. Can you start all over again? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.

THE DEFENDANT: Instead of being paid to the third

13 1 party, didn't you say it's the third party that pays that 2 money? 3 THE COURT: That's correct, but your statement to me 4 was translated as legal fees being paid to a third party. I just want to make sure you understand that the legal fees are 5 being paid by a third party; you understand that your legal 6 7 fees are being paid by someone else. 8 THE DEFENDANT: Correct. 9 THE COURT: And you also understand that that person 10 who is paying your fees could ask your lawyers to take 11 positions that are contrary to your interest. 12 Do you understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 14 THE COURT: And understanding that, as well as the other potential conflicts that could arise from this 15 16 arrangement, do you still want to have Mr. Walsh represent 17 you? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I am. 19 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the services that 20 Mr. Walsh and other attorneys in his firm have provided you thus far? 21 22 THE DEFENDANT: What does that mean? 23 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the services 24 provided by your lawyers thus far in this case? 25 THE DEFENDANT: I am satisfied.

```
16
    including Ms. Todd, if you wanted to.
1
 2
              Do you understand that?
              THE DEFENDANT:
 3
                               Yes.
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to speak to another
    lawyer, or Ms. Todd, who is actually here in court with us
 5
6
    today?
7
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Not necessary.
8
              THE COURT: Is there anything I've said that you
9
    wish for me to explain further?
10
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
11
              THE COURT: Are you willing to waive your right to
12
    conflict-free counsel, Mr. Kuang?
13
              THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
14
              THE COURT: And are you knowingly and voluntarily
    waiving your right to conflict-free representation?
15
16
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Correct.
17
              THE COURT: And do you agree, Mr. Kuang, to waive --
18
    or give up -- any post conviction argument on appeal or
19
    otherwise that, first, by virtue of having a third party pay
20
    your legal fees, you are denied effective assistance of
21
    counsel or, second, that prior representation of another
22
    party -- your co-defendant -- caused you to be denied
    effective assistance of counsel?
23
24
              Are you willing to give up those arguments post
25
    conviction, or on appeal, or otherwise?
```

```
17
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
1
 2
              THE COURT: Let me turn to the Government for a
 3
    moment.
 4
               Is there anything else I should inquire of
    Mr. Kuang?
5
                            No, Your Honor.
6
              MR. HEEREN:
7
              THE COURT:
                          Mr. Walsh, is there anything else you
8
    think I should cover with your client?
9
              MR. WALSH:
                           Not that I can think of, Judge. I don't
10
    know if the Court wants some explanation from me about the
11
    efforts that I've made to prevent any conflict.
12
              THE COURT: Why don't we have you put those on the
13
             I know that the letter I received from the
14
    Government, I think -- or something I read, actually --
15
    alluded to efforts to segregate yourself from those lawyers
16
    who may have represented or had some role in representing the
17
    co-defendant.
18
              MR. WALSH:
                           And I will try to speak in short
19
    sentences so that the interpreter is able to interpret what
    I'm saying.
20
21
               First off, the Demidchik Law Firm is actually
22
    multiple LLCs that operate separate and apart from each other.
23
              THE COURT:
                           One of which is in Flushing, I gather.
24
              MR. WALSH:
                           One is in Flushing; one is in Chicago;
    one is in City of Industry in LA area; and one is in Santa
25
```

18 Clara County, California. 1 2 THE COURT: Where is Demidchik him- or herself? 3 This is just a point of curiosity. 4 MR. WALSH: Anna Demidchik is the named partner. Presently, I think she is completing her reserve duty for the 5 6 United States Army. 7 THE COURT: Good for her. 8 MR. WALSH: And she generally has been in the 9 Flushing office, but has moved to the Santa Clara County, California office. 10 11 THE COURT: All right, but we are digressing. 12 your point is that it's easy to maintain separateness? 13 MR. WALSH: Yes. 14 In fact, my files on -- we use SharePoint -- are separate and apart from everyone else, and I'm the only one 15 16 who has access to them. I don't have access to the files of 17 other attorneys in the Demidchik firm in the four separate 18 offices. I have -- I don't know what conversations ever occurred between Mr. Zhu and members of the Flushing, 19 New York, office. 20 21 THE COURT: The only thing I'm wondering, though, is even if you don't communicate with your affiliated lawyers 22 23 about their representation of Mr. Zhu in the past, I don't 24 know if that resolves or absolves you of your ethical

obligation as a member of the same firm not to take a contrary

25

position -- sorry, "contrary" is the wrong word -- but not to take a position that might be inconsistent with information that your loosely-affiliated partners obtained when they represented Mr. Zhu.

Let's pause for a moment, because I don't know if the Government has a view on that, or if you do, Mr. Walsh.

So even though we're talking about the ways in which you basically walled yourself off from this information, I'm not sure that that eliminates some obligation you might have not to be disloyal to the firm's prior client, Mr. Zhu.

MR. HEEREN: So, Your Honor, the Government's position is -- I think the law is a little complex here and tends to be a bit fact-specific. I think the most conservative assumption to proceed with for purposes of a *Curcio* hearing is to assume, for the purposes of any waiver, that there be some limitation on Mr. Walsh's ability to present a case as it relates to that co-defendant with the examples being limitations on cross-examination, certain evidence.

However, in addition to Mr. Walsh's information about how, as a factual matter, he doesn't know anything, the Government would also represent that if we were to go to trial in this case, the facts of the case, as it pertains to this defendant, are large -- are largely discrete from the other defendants. It's part of the same conspiracy, so the issue

```
still exists, but it's our view that whatever potential conflict here is fairly narrow and minor, for lack of a better term.
```

THE COURT: But speaking in broad terms, assuming for the moment that Mr. Kuang wants to cooperate, wants to testify, and ends up testifying about the scheme overall, which could have a negative impact on co-defendant Zhu, does everyone think that Mr. Walsh is not limited in counseling Mr. Kuang to cooperate, if that's what he desires, even if it has a negative impact on Mr. Zhu, who the firm no longer represents?

MR. HEEREN: I do not believe the obligation extends that far, Your Honor. I believe that maintaining client confidences reaches beyond the representation, so if Mr. Walsh, for example, did know the confidential facts, he could not share it. But my understanding is that -- my understanding is that is the limit as it pertains to this case, so I don't think he would be -- have any issue with, for example, preparing him to testify.

THE COURT: Preparing him to testify?

MR. HEEREN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Walsh, do you see it any differently than what the Government has just described?

MR. WALSH: No, Judge. I think the Government and I

are on the same page here.

THE COURT: And you don't feel limited in terms of the advice you would give Mr. Kuang based on the firm having previously represented Mr. Zhu?

MR. WALSH: None whatsoever.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I am satisfied that Mr. Kuang is knowingly and voluntarily waiving his right to conflict-free representation. I think we've gone over it at great length, the potential conflicts, which are somewhat concrete, as I said before, in this case, given the firm's prior representation of a co-defendant. But it does appear to me, based on Mr. Kuang's demeanor and his answers to my questions, that he understands the potential risks and the conflicts but is willing to waive his right to have an attorney who is conflict-free.

So I'm going to accept Mr. Ze Bin's waiver to conflict-free representation, and Mr. Walsh, you will continue to represent Mr. Kuang going forward.

One last thing I will say to you, Mr. Kuang, is that if at some point if you decide, however, that you don't wish Mr. Walsh to represent you anymore because of a conflict -- or for any reason -- you obviously are not required to keep Mr. Walsh. And it could well be that perhaps the third party's influence becomes such that you don't want to have Mr. Walsh represent you anymore. In other words, you're not

stuck with Mr. Walsh simply because I've accepted your waiver regarding his representation of you.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Understood.

THE COURT: And also, as I'm sure you were advised when you were first arraigned or presented in court, you have the right to appointment of counsel -- free counsel -- if you cannot afford to pay for an attorney yourself. In other words, you don't need to depend on this third party to pay your legal fees. If you cannot afford to hire your own attorney, we'll appoint one for you for free.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. So now, Mr. Walsh, it's my understanding that your client wishes to proceed with a plea to Count Three of the superseding S-1 indictment.

Thank you very much, Ms. Todd. You are excused with our thanks.

MS. TODD: You're welcome. Thank you.

THE COURT: Sorry you had to endure a rather lengthy Curcio.

So it's my understanding that Mr. Kuang is going to plead guilty to Count Three of the S-1 superseding indictment pursuant to an agreement with the Government; is that correct, Mr. Walsh?

```
MR. WALSH: Yes, that is my client's understanding.
1
 2
    We have reviewed the agreement with him, and it has been
    translated for him previously by one of our -- my assistants
 3
 4
    in our Chicago office.
              THE COURT: Into Chinese?
5
              MR. WALSH:
                                 Mandarin.
6
                          Yes.
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Well, written is the same, but whatever.
8
    Okay.
9
              MR. WALSH:
                          One of our associates speaks Cantonese
10
    also, so she maintains that they're separate.
11
              THE COURT:
                          Oh, that's interesting.
12
                          She's originally from Kuang Kong.
              MR. WALSH:
13
              THE COURT: Fair enough.
14
              So, Mr. Kuang, as you just heard, your attorney has
    advised me that it's your intention to plead guilty to Count
15
16
    Three of the superseding S-1 indictment, and that you will be
17
    doing so pursuant to an agreement with the Government.
18
              Is that correct?
19
              THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
20
                          Now, this is a serious decision, and I
              THE COURT:
21
    must be certain that you're making this decision with a full
22
    understanding of your rights and the consequences of your
23
    quilty plea.
                  I'm going to be explaining to you the rights
```

that you will be giving up by pleading guilty, and before I

accept your guilty plea, there are a number of questions that

24

25

I must ask you to establish that your plea is valid. If you don't understand any of my questions, let me know and I'll rephrase them for you. If you would like to consult further with your attorney, let me know and I will give you as much time as you need.

Now, you are already under oath, so, again, I'm going to remind you that if you answer any of my questions falsely, those answers can be used against you in a separate prosecution for perjury or making a false statement.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Please state your full name for the record.

THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, may interpreter verify with the -- with Mr. Kuang and instruct him to speak to me in Mandarin only?

THE COURT: Well, I guess you can speak in English and Mandarin, but if you are going to speak in English, Mr. Kuang, we need to have you speak into the microphone, but certainly, Mr. Interpreter, you can confirm with him what he just told you.

THE INTERPRETER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Walsh, why don't you pull the microphone between you and your client since he obviously speaks some English.

```
27
    your anxiety?
1
 2
              THE DEFENDANT: Now I'm asked to take one every
 3
    night.
 4
              THE COURT: And do you know the name of the
    medication?
5
              THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't, but I have it at home.
6
7
    It is prescribed by my --
8
              THE COURT: By your who?
9
              THE INTERPRETER:
                                 Interpreter will repeat.
10
              PCP, primary care physician.
              THE COURT: Oh, PCP, which we understand to be
11
12
    primary care physician, okay.
13
               Is there anything about the medication you're taking
    that makes it difficult for you to understand or comprehend
14
15
    what's going on?
16
              THE DEFENDANT: So my PCP prescribing me with this.
    It can help with my sleep, but let's say -- it doesn't affect
17
18
    my ability to talk or those things.
19
                           Does it affect your ability to process
              THE COURT:
20
    things mentally?
21
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
22
              THE COURT: Does it affect your ability to
23
    understand what's being said to you?
24
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
25
              THE COURT: Is your mind clear as you sit here right
```

30 THE COURT: You've reviewed it? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: And it was translated for you? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Correct, translated. THE COURT: Have you discussed with your attorney 5 Count Three, which is the count you are going to be pleading 6 7 guilty to with your attorney? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Discussed. 9 THE COURT: Now, Count Three charges you with 10 conspiring to engage in interstate stalking. If the 11 Government were to go to trial against you on this charge, 12 they would have to prove a number of elements beyond a 13 reasonable doubt. First, they'd have to prove that two or 14 more persons entered into the unlawful agreement charged in 15 the S-1 indictment; second, they would have to show that you 16 knowingly and willfully became a member of that conspiracy; 17 third, they'd have to show that one of the members of the 18 conspiracy knowingly committed at least one of the overt acts charged in Count Three; and, fourth, that the overt acts were 19 20 committed to further some objective of the conspiracy. 21 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, can you repeat this 22 part for the interpreter, please? THE COURT: 23 Yes. 24 The further element is that the overt act that was

committed had to be committed in furtherance of the objective

25

of the conspiracy. Sorry, did I say to further the objective -- yes -- of the conspiracy. And the underlying offense that's charged as the object of the conspiracy is interstate stalking, and that has three elements, the first of which is that the defendant traveled in interstate or foreign commerce; second, that you did so with the intent to kill, injure, harass, or intimidate or place under surveillance with the intent to do the same; and the third element is that in the course of or resulting from this travel, you engaged in conduct which placed the victim in reasonable fear of death or serious injury or cause substantial emotional distress to that victim or a member of the victim's immediate family. But to reiterate, the crime that you are charged with is agreeing to commit this underlying offense.

THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, can you repeat this for the benefit of the interpreter?

THE COURT: Yes.

THE INTERPRETER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Yes. So I want to reiterate that the crime you are charged with is agreeing to, or conspiring to commit this underlying offense so that even if the actual stalking never occurred, you could still be guilty of conspiring to commit that crime, so long as you or a co-conspirator committed an overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So do you understand what the Government would have to prove with respect to Count Three if you were to go to trial in this case? And I will also note that although the superseding indictment contains forfeiture allegations, they don't apply to Count Three. THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, can you repeat this part for the benefit of the interpreter, please? THE COURT: The superseding indictment contains forfeiture allegations, but they do not apply to Count Three. Mr. Kuang, have you had enough time to discuss with your attorney whether or not to plead guilty? Have you had enough time to talk with your attorney about whether or not to plead guilty? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Are you fully satisfied with the counsel representation and advice given to you by your attorney in this case? THE DEFENDANT: Satisfied. THE COURT: Mr. Kuang, you have a right to continue to plead not guilty; no one can be forced to plead guilty. Do you understand? THE DEFENDANT: No force. Voluntary. THE COURT: But understand that you have a right to continue to plead not guilty if you want.

Do you understand that?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Understood.
2	THE COURT: If you continued with your not guilty
3	plea, you have the right under the Constitution and laws of
4	the United States to a speedy and public trial by jury. At
5	trial, you would be presumed to be innocent. The Government
6	would have to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
7	Do you understand?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Understood.
9	THE COURT: You would have the right to the
10	assistance of counsel for your defense at trial.
11	Do you understand?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13	THE COURT: You would have the right to see and hear
14	all the witnesses and to have them cross-examined in your
15	defense.
16	Do you understand?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	THE COURT: You would have the right not to testify
19	unless you voluntarily chose to do so at trial.
20	Do you understand?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: You would have the right to compel
23	witnesses to attend the trial and testify in your defense.
24	Do you understand?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

```
35
1
              THE INTERPRETER:
                                 Thank you.
 2
               (Pause.)
 3
              THE INTERPRETER: Sorry about that. Continue, Your
 4
    Honor.
5
              THE COURT:
                           Okay.
               I will simply enter a judgment of guilt based on
6
 7
    your guilty plea, and the Government will be freed of any
8
    responsibility to prove your guilt.
9
              Do you understand?
10
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
11
              THE COURT: If you plead guilty, I will have to ask
    you questions about what you did in order to make sure that
12
13
    you're guilty of the crime you are pleading guilty to. By
    answering my questions, you will be giving up your right
14
    against self-incrimination.
15
16
              Do you understand?
17
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
18
              THE COURT: Mr. Kuang, do you understand each and
19
    every one of these rights that we've just discussed?
20
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
21
              THE COURT: Are you willing to give up your right to
22
    a trial and all of these other rights?
23
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Okay.
24
              THE COURT: Did he just say "okay"?
25
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yeah.
```

	36
1	THE COURT: In other words, you are willing to give
2	up your right to a trial and all of these other rights?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Let's turn to the agreement
5	you've entered into with the Government.
6	The original has been marked as Court Exhibit
7	Number 1.
8	Did you sign it on the last page above where your
9	name is typewritten?
10	MR. WALSH: Judge, I don't have that page, but he
11	did sign it, and my staff member here we go, I'm sorry, I
12	gave the wrong copy to the I'm sorry, Judge.
13	THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.
14	Did you sign it, Mr. Kuang, on the last page?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
16	THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity to read it
17	before you signed it?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And was it translated into Mandarin for
20	you?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
22	THE COURT: Do you understand the terms of this
23	agreement?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Do you feel like you've had enough time
	i l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The maximum term of imprisonment is five years. There is no mandatory minimum term of imprisonment that must Any term of imprisonment can be followed by a term of supervised release of up to three years. Supervised release refers to the period of time when you will be subject to supervision by the Probation Department, and that's after completing any term of imprisonment. You will have to follow rules of supervised release, and if you violate any of those rules, you could be sent back to prison for up to two additional years, and you could be sent back to prison without a jury trial, and it would be without any credit for any time you may have spent in prison before then or any time you may have spent on supervised release before then. You also face a possible fine of the greater of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain, or twice the gross loss, caused by your crime.

You will be required to pay restitution in the full amount of each victim's losses, which I'll determine at the time of sentencing. You will also be required to pay a \$100 special assessment at the time of sentencing; and, furthermore, because you are not a U.S. citizen, you face likely deportation or removal from the United States.

Do you understand that in paragraph 11 of your agreement you've confirmed that you are willing to plead guilty regardless of any immigration consequences that your plea entails?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Understood.

THE COURT: Do you understand all of the possible consequences of your guilty plea, Mr. Kuang?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Let's turn to the sentencing guidelines.

Under the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, the U.S.

Sentencing Commission has issued guidelines for judges to follow in determining sentences in criminal cases.

THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, could you repeat the first part of the statement for the interpreter, please.

THE COURT: Yes. So under the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, the Sentencing Commission has issued guidelines for judges to follow in determining a sentence in a criminal case.

THE INTERPRETER: You thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: These guidelines are advisory, and I will consider them along with other facts and circumstances in determining your sentence.

Mr. Kuang, have you and your attorney discussed how the advisory sentencing guidelines might apply to your case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You should understand that any estimate your attorney may have given you could be wrong. I will not be able to determine the applicable guidelines range until after a Presentence Report has been prepared by the Probation Department and after you and the Government have had a chance

	41
1	Do you understand?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Finally, you should understand that
4	there's no parole in the federal system, and if you are
5	sentenced to a term of imprisonment, you will not be released
6	on parole.
7	Regarding your right to appeal, under some
8	circumstances, you or the Government might have the right to
9	appeal any sentence that I impose, but in your plea agreement,
10	specifically paragraph 3, you've agreed not to appeal or
11	otherwise challenge your conviction or sentence if I impose a
12	term of imprisonment of 33 months or less.
13	Do you understand that?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Kuang, do you have any questions
16	about the rights that you're giving up, the punishments you
17	face, the plea agreement, or anything else related to this
18	matter?
19	THE DEFENDANT: No.
20	THE COURT: Are you ready to plead guilty,
21	Mr. Kuang?
22	THE DEFENDANT: I'm ready.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Walsh, do you know of any reason why
24	your client should not plead guilty?
25	MR. WALSH: No, Your Honor.

	42
1	THE COURT: Mr. Kuang, what is your plea to Count
2	Three of the S-1 indictment; guilty or not guilty?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
4	THE COURT: Are you making this plea voluntarily and
5	of your own free will?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
7	THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or forced you
8	to plead guilty?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No.
10	THE COURT: Other than your plea agreement with the
11	Government, has anyone made you any promise that has caused
12	you to plead guilty?
13	THE DEFENDANT: No.
14	THE COURT: Has anyone made you any promise as to
15	what your sentence will be?
16	THE DEFENDANT: No.
17	THE COURT: Tell me in your own words what makes you
18	guilty of Count Three which charges you with conspiring to
19	engage in interstate stalking.
20	Remember to pause for the interpreter.
21	THE DEFENDANT: September 2018, I, with another
22	person, went to a residence in New Jersey
23	THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, may interpreter
24	request clarification?
25	THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

43 1 THE INTERPRETER: Thank you. 2 -- harassed the other person and also placed a written note on that person's door, which says: If you return 3 4 to China and stay in prison for ten years, your family members would be safe and sound and we'll finish it up this matter in 5 this way. And then I feel by doing this I'm wrong. 6 7 THE COURT: Let me ask you a few follow-up 8 questions. 9 You said in September 2018, you and another person, 10 whom I believe you identified as -- and I'm going to spell 11 this for the court reporter, Z-H-E-N-G, Zheng Congying, 12 C-O-N-G-Y-I-N-G -- that's the first name, but it's a separate 13 word -- is that who you identified as going with you to New 14 Jersey? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Correct. THE COURT: And where did you go to New Jersey from? 16 You and Mr. Zheng. 17 18 THE DEFENDANT: It was from Brooklyn to New Jersey. 19 He drove. 20 THE COURT: You said we drove or he drove? 21 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter clarify. He drove. 22 THE COURT: So you went from New York to New Jersey; 23 is that correct? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Correct. 25 THE COURT: And when the two of you went, had you

	46
1	video as well.
2	I'm returning the original plea agreement, Court
3	Exhibit Number 1, the Government, per se, is keeping.
4	Is there anything else in this matter that we need
5	to address?
6	MR. WALSH: Judge, I just have to give your clerk
7	some particulars for the sheets
8	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You need to use the
9	microphone.
10	THE COURT: That's okay. All he said he was going
11	to give you, Fida, some particulars information.
12	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.
13	THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the
14	Government?
15	MS. SISE: Nothing from the Government.
16	THE COURT: All right, everyone is excused. We have
17	another matter.
18	Thank you, everyone.
19	(Matter concluded.)
20	
21	* * * * *
22	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
23	
24	/s/ Denise Parisi May 4, 2023
25	DENISE PARISI DATE